





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/016,905	12/14/2001	Michael Von der Geest	3107-237	9583	
7590 01/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Lewis F. Gould, Jr.			HARRIS, CHANDA L		
Duane Morris LLP One Liberty Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7396			3714		
	Α		DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · ·			<u> </u>	NK				
	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		5,905	VON DER GEES	VON DER GEEST ET AL.				
		ner	Art Unit					
		a L. Harris	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than th  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for  - Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704  Status	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the s um statutory period will apply and r reply will, by statute, cause the a onths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirt d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication (s	) filed on <u>27 October 2</u>	<u>003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is	non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-69</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>36-69</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 70-75</u> is/are i	D⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35 and 70-75</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	n requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to t	-	_						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·		Note the attached	d Office Action or form F	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a case a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the pri 2. Certified copies of the pri 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the pri application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the pri application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office 13) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the certified copies of the certifie	of: ority documents have to ority documents have to ority documents have to pies of the priority documentional Bureau (PCT fraction for a list of the coaim for domestic priority cluded in the first senter an language provisional aim for domestic priority	peen received. Deen received in A Deen received in	application No received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provision cation or in an Application seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nonformal Patent Application (P					

Art Unit: 3714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Claims

In response to the Amendment filed 10/27/03, Claims 1-75 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-35 and 70-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The rejection from the previous office action is maintained and is incorporated herein by reference.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Art Unit: 3714

In the present case, Claims 1-35 and 70-75 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely receiving evaluation data for said environment ...; providing model data to an individual that is responsible for said environment ...; receiving from said individual responsible for said environment a selection of at least one of said one or more dimensions of said environment; and providing said individual responsible for said environment an action plan ..., does not apply, involve, use or advance the technological arts since all of the recited limitations can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of pencil and paper. These limitations only constitute an idea of how to how to assist in the development of an environment by performing evaluations and providing a plan for improvement of the environment.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention associates evaluation data or model data with at least one characteristic of an individual responsible for the environment (i.e. repeatable) used in providing the individual responsible for the environment an action plan (i.e. useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1-35 and 70-75 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In response to applicant's remarks regarding authority for the technological arts test, the examiner offers the following analysis. Despite the express language of 35 U.S.C. 101, several judicially created exceptions have been excluded from subject

Art Unit: 3714

matter covered by Section 101. These exceptions include laws of nature, natural phenomena, and abstract ideas. See Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 185, 209 USPQ 1, 7 (1981). It is this examiner's opinion that the steps recited in applicant's method are merely the manipulation of abstract concepts without reciting a practical application within any technological art or environment. The phrase "technological arts" has been created to offer another view of the term "useful arts". The Constitution of the United States authorizes and empowers the government to issue patents only for inventions which promote the progress [of science and] the useful arts. It is also this examiner's opinion that the invention as claimed does not promote the progress of science and the useful arts, and does not fall within the definition of technological arts. The abstract idea which forms the heart of the invention does not become a technological art merely by the recitation in the preamble of a "computer implemented" method. As to technological arts recited in the preamble, mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended or field of use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea unless there is positive recitation in the claim as a whole to breathe life and meaning into the preamble.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 36-69 are allowed.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a second code segment for

Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

causing a processor to receive from an individual responsible for an environment a

of the of the individual responsible for the environment in combination with the other

selection of at least one or more dimensions associated with at least one characteristic

claimed limitations (Claim 36 and similar language in independent Claim 53). See p.27,

lines 9-18 of Applicant's Response filed 10/27/03.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

• Peter, K.

disclosure.

-appraisal of classroom instruction

• Crisci, P.E.

-a teacher appraisal system

Kuh, G.D.

-needs assessments in education

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments regarding the Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chanda L. Harris whose telephone number is 703-308-8358. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30am-4:00pm.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Ch.

MARK SAGER PRIMARY EXAMINER